

## New Zealand Society of Animal Production online archive

This paper is from the New Zealand Society for Animal Production online archive. NZSAP holds a regular annual conference in June or July each year for the presentation of technical and applied topics in animal production. NZSAP plays an important role as a forum fostering research in all areas of animal production including production systems, nutrition, meat science, animal welfare, wool science, animal breeding and genetics.

An invitation is extended to all those involved in the field of animal production to apply for membership of the New Zealand Society of Animal Production at our website [www.nzsap.org.nz](http://www.nzsap.org.nz)

[View All Proceedings](#)[Next Conference](#)[Join NZSAP](#)

The New Zealand Society of Animal Production in publishing the conference proceedings is engaged in disseminating information, not rendering professional advice or services. The views expressed herein do not necessarily represent the views of the New Zealand Society of Animal Production and the New Zealand Society of Animal Production expressly disclaims any form of liability with respect to anything done or omitted to be done in reliance upon the contents of these proceedings.

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).



You are free to:

**Share**— copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format

Under the following terms:

**Attribution** — You must give [appropriate credit](#), provide a link to the license, and [indicate if changes were made](#). You may do so in any reasonable manner, but not in any way that suggests the licensor endorses you or your use.

**NonCommercial** — You may not use the material for [commercial purposes](#).

**NoDerivatives** — If you [remix, transform, or build upon](#) the material, you may not distribute the modified material.

<http://creativecommons.org.nz/licences/licences-explained/>

## A multifaceted approach to providing consumers consistent meat tenderness

T.P.L. SMITH, T.L. WHEELER, S.D. SHACKELFORD, R.T. STONE,  
E. CASAS, G.L. BENNETT, J.W. KEELE AND M. KOOHMARAIE

USDA, ARS, U.S. Meat Animal Research Center, PO Box 166, Spur 18D, Clay Center, NE 68933 USA

### ABSTRACT

A comprehensive program of research on the physiological and genetic basis of variation in meat tenderness has been undertaken. Key processes of tenderization have been identified; intervention and testing protocols have been devised and evaluated, including calcium chloride injection and in-line tenderness testing/classification. Genetic loci influencing meat tenderness have been detected, and DNA markers developed to aid selection and eventual identification of the allelic variation underlying these effects. This combined effort is leading to management and production approaches to providing a consistent, tender meat product.

**Keywords:** tenderization; QTL; meat quality; calpain.

### INTRODUCTION

Meat tenderness has been identified as one of the primary challenges of the U.S. beef cattle industry (Smith *et al.*, 1995). Although generally considered less of a problem in swine, recently a renewed emphasis has been placed on tenderness in pork (Wheeler *et al.*, 2000) as selection for lean growth has affected meat quality. Since the majority of sheep meat is consumed as young lamb in the U.S., there has been little concern about meat tenderness until the introduction in the 1980s of animals with the callipyge phenotype, which has a dramatic affect on tenderness (Koochmaraie *et al.*, 1995; Freking *et al.*, 1999). Consumers desire a consistently tender product, and a single undesirable experience related to tenderness can have significant impact on future product choice (Miller *et al.*, 1995). Average per capita red-meat consumption in the U.S. has recently begun to rise, after years of decline, due in part to the popularity of an "all-protein" weight loss diet. A potential key to capturing this increase beyond the end of the diet fad is to provide a consistently flavorful, tender product.

An important point of consideration is that the majority of meat sold to consumers, when properly cooked, has very acceptable tenderness characteristics. Despite this high rate of "success" from a tenderness perspective, consumer experience has resulted in their willingness to pay a substantial premium for beef that has been tested for acceptable tenderness (Boleman *et al.*, 1997; Looker and Fee, 2000). The U.S. Meat Animal Research Center (MARC) has pursued a multifaceted program in meat tenderness that seeks to understand the genetic, environmental, and physiological aspects affecting this trait, as well as pursuing methods to test and influence tenderness during meat processing. Although the majority of effort has been in cattle and sheep, we anticipate that the principles and methods identified have potential application in all meat-producing species.

Substantial progress has been made in understanding the basis of meat tenderization. First, it is clear that tenderization occurs as a result of small but significant changes in the muscle during postmortem aging of the carcass. If insufficiently aged, a majority of carcasses produce tough meat. Key structural proteins are degraded

by specific protease systems during aging, decreasing the structural integrity of the tissue. Differences in the rate and extent of postmortem proteolysis are the primary determinants of meat tenderness, and it appears that the calcium-dependent protease (calpain) system carries out this proteolysis. Second, it appears that the genetics of the animal can have an impact on tenderness, as demonstrated by breed evaluation studies (Crouse *et al.*, 1989; Gregory *et al.*, 1994). In general, animals with higher percentage of *Bos indicus* breed background have increased incidence of tough meat. However, heritability estimates within breeds suggest that only 30-50% of the variation in meat tenderness is due to additive genetic factors, with the majority of variation due to environmental or other influences.

MARC has pursued a research strategy that includes both breeding and intervention approaches to consistently tender product. The germplasm evaluation (GPE) project at MARC is a long-term program that determines production values of different breeds, in part by large crossbreeding studies. Impact of breed composition on meat tenderness is one important component of this study. In addition, a gene-mapping approach to identify chromosomal regions affecting tenderness has been applied both to an indicus/taurus cross resource population and a double muscled/normal cross population that have been phenotyped by Warner-Bratzler shear force measurement.

Gene mapping studies at MARC have revealed two quantitative trait loci (QTL) that appear to affect meat tenderness (Keele *et al.*, 1999; Casas *et al.*, 2000). One QTL lies on bovine chromosome 15 (BTA15), and the other on bovine chromosome 29 (BTA29). Interestingly, both of these bovine chromosomes are related by conservation of synteny to human chromosome 11 (HSA11). Therefore, substantial effort has been directed toward comparative mapping of HSA11 and the bovine genome (Rexroad *et al.*, 2000; Smith *et al.*, 2000). In the case of BTA15, a number of microsatellite markers have been developed for use in narrowing the QTL region, as well as gene-related markers being placed on the map to aid in identification of positional candidate genes from the human map. Although no obvious candidate genes were identified and mapped, at least one gene, CALCA, a hormone involved in partitioning of calcium between serum and bone, has been

placed under the QTL “peak” of probability and represents a potential candidate gene affecting tenderness through activities limiting available intracellular calcium. In the case of BTA29, a positional candidate gene has been identified and characterized. This gene, CAPN1 (also known as CANP1, mu-calpain), codes for the member of the calpain family that appears to be the main protease responsible for meat tenderization. The bovine and porcine genes and cDNAs have been cloned and sequenced, and a number of sequence polymorphisms in the genes have been identified. These polymorphisms have been used to map CAPN1 in cattle, directly under the “peak” of probability for the location of the tenderness QTL. Further work is aimed at determining if allelic variation at the CAPN1 locus can be related to meat tenderness in other populations, and at identification of specific sequence variation underlying the effect.

The results of the QTL study underscored the influence of environment on meat tenderness. The significance of the QTL on BTA15 was highly dependent on slaughter group, demonstrating that under some conditions, the effect of the QTL allele could not be observed due to some overriding environmental effect. This result argues that manipulation of genetics is unlikely to be the total solution to the problem of variation in meat tenderness in the near future. Therefore, two additional approaches, intervention and testing, have been taken. Based on the principle that calcium-dependent proteases such as CAPN1 are the primary effectors of tenderization, trials were conducted to evaluate the impact of calcium injection (marination) on shear force (Landsell *et al.*, 1995; Wheeler *et al.*, 1996). These studies showed a direct, positive impact of calcium injection on tenderness, suggesting that injection of food-grade calcium chloride into meat is a viable method to insure a consistently tender product. Although studies suggest consumer acceptance of this practice would be high (Miller *et al.*, 1995), it has not been put into general application by the U.S. beef industry. Therefore, another approach has been evaluated that utilizes carcass testing and grading for meat tenderness (Shackelford *et al.*, 1997, 1999; Wheeler *et al.*, 1999). Carcasses were tested by removal of a steak at the 12<sup>th</sup> rib cross section, which was analyzed by shear force measurement after trimming, cooking, and sampling. Based on this measurement carcasses were graded as tender, intermediate, or tough. There was a high correlation of assigned class with tenderness measured after aging by slice shear force measurement and by trained sensory panel, demonstrating that sampling of the carcass prior to aging can predict carcass merit at the consumer level. The potential of this system to increase value of the meat product has been evaluated by consumer studies that show the willingness of consumers to pay a premium for meat marked as “guaranteed tender” based on this type of carcass evaluation (Boleman *et al.*, 1997).

The successes described in this report suggest that a multifaceted approach that integrates genetics, testing, and intervention, has the potential to substantially decrease variation in meat tenderness. As more is discovered about the genetic and environmental variables affecting tenderization, other approaches and improved breeding techniques may also become available. We believe this

approach will lead to an overall program that can provide a consistently superior product for the discerning consumer.

## REFERENCES

- Boleman, S.J.; Boleman, S.L.; Miller, R.K.; Taylor, J.F.; Cross, H.R.; Wheeler, T.L.; Koohmaraie, M.; Shackelford, S.D.; Miller, M.F.; West, R.L.; Johnson, D.D.; Savell, J.W. 1997. Consumer evaluation of beef of known categories of tenderness. *Journal of Animal Science* **75**: 1521-1524.
- Casas, E.; Shackelford, S.D.; Keele, J.W.; Stone, R.T.; Kappes, S.M.; Koohmaraie, M. 2000. Quantitative trait loci affecting growth and carcass composition of cattle segregating alternate forms of myostatin. *Journal of Animal Science* **78**: 560-569.
- Crouse, J.D.; Cundiff, L.V.; Koch, R.M.; Koohmaraie, M.; Seideman, S.C. 1989. Comparisons of *Bos indicus* and *Bos taurus* inheritance for carcass beef characteristics and meat palatability. *Journal of Animal Science* **67**: 2661-2668.
- Freking, B.A.; Keele, J.W.; Shackelford, S.D.; Wheeler, T.L.; Koohmaraie, M.; Nielsen, M.K.; Leymaster, K.A. 1999. Evaluation of the ovine callipyge locus: III. Genotypic effects on meat quality traits. *Journal of Animal Science* **77**: 2336-2344.
- Gregory, K.E.; Cundiff, L.V.; Koch, R.M.; Dikeman, M.E.; Koohmaraie, M. 1994. Breed effects, retained heterosis, and estimates of genetic and phenotypic parameters for carcass and meat traits of beef cattle. *Journal of Animal Science* **72**: 1174-1183.
- Keele, J.W.; Shackelford, S.D.; Kappes, S.M.; Koohmaraie, M.; Stone, R.T. 1999. A region on bovine chromosome 15 influences beef longissimus tenderness in steers. *Journal of Animal Science* **77**: 1364-1371.
- Koohmaraie, M.; Shackelford, S.D.; Wheeler, T.L.; Lonergan, S.M.; Doumit, M.E. 1995. A muscle hypertrophy condition in lamb (callipyge): characterization of effects of muscle growth and meat quality traits. *Journal of Animal Science* **73**: 3596-3607.
- Landsell, J.L.; Miller, M.F.; Wheeler, T.L.; Koohmaraie, M.; Ramsey, C.B. 1995. Postmortem injection of calcium chloride effects on beef quality traits. *Journal of Animal Science* **73**: 1735-1740.
- Looker, D.; Fee, R. 2000. Dazzling Demand. *Successful Farming*, February issue, p. 17-18.
- Miller, M.F.; Hoover, L.C.; Cook, K.D.; Guerra, A.L.; Huffman, K.L.; Tinney, K.S.; Ramsey, C.B.; Brittin, H.C.; Huffman, L.M. 1995. Consumer acceptability of beef steak tenderness in the home and restaurant. *Journal of Food Science* **60**: 963-965.
- Rexroad, C.E.; Bennett, G.L.; Keele, J.W.; Fahrenkrug, S.C.; Freking, B.A.; Kappes, S.M.; Stone, R.T.; Smith, T.P.L. 2000. Comparative mapping of BTA15 and HSA11 including a region containing a QTL for meat tenderness. *Journal of Animal Science*: in press.
- Shackelford, S.D.; Wheeler, T.L.; Koohmaraie, M. 1997. Tenderness classification of beef: I. Evaluation of beef longissimus shear force at 1 or 2 days postmortem as a predictor of aged beef tenderness. *Journal of Animal Science* **75**: 2417-2422.
- Shackelford, S.D.; Wheeler, T.L.; Koohmaraie, M. 1999. Tenderness classification of beef: II. Design and analysis of a system to measure beef longissimus shear force under commercial processing conditions. *Journal of Animal Science* **77**: 1474-1481.
- Smith, G.C.; Dolezal, H.G.; Savell, J.W. 1995. The Final Report of the National Beef Quality Audit-1995. Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, and Texas A&M University, College Station.
- Smith, T.P.L.; Casas, E.; Rexroad, C.E.; Kappes, S.M.; Keele, J.W. 2000. Bovine CAPN1 maps to a region of BTA29 containing a QTL for meat tenderness. *Journal of Animal Science*: in press.
- Wheeler, T.L.; Cundiff, L.V.; Koch, R.M.; Crouse, J.D. 1996. Characterization of biological types of cattle (Cycle IV): Carcass traits and longissimus palatability. *Journal of Animal Science* **74**: 1023-1035.
- Wheeler, T.; Shackelford, S.D.; Koohmaraie, M. 1999. Tenderness classification of beef: III. Effect of the interaction between end point temperature and tenderness on Warner-Bratzler shear force of beef longissimus. *Journal of Animal Science* **77**: 400-407.
- Wheeler, T.L.; Shackelford, S.D.; Koohmaraie, M. 2000. Variation in proteolysis, sarcomere length, collagen content, and tenderness among major pork muscles. *Journal of Animal Science* **78**: 958-965.