

New Zealand Society of Animal Production online archive

This paper is from the New Zealand Society for Animal Production online archive. NZSAP holds a regular annual conference in June or July each year for the presentation of technical and applied topics in animal production. NZSAP plays an important role as a forum fostering research in all areas of animal production including production systems, nutrition, meat science, animal welfare, wool science, animal breeding and genetics.

An invitation is extended to all those involved in the field of animal production to apply for membership of the New Zealand Society of Animal Production at our website www.nzsap.org.nz

[View All Proceedings](#)

[Next Conference](#)

[Join NZSAP](#)

The New Zealand Society of Animal Production in publishing the conference proceedings is engaged in disseminating information, not rendering professional advice or services. The views expressed herein do not necessarily represent the views of the New Zealand Society of Animal Production and the New Zealand Society of Animal Production expressly disclaims any form of liability with respect to anything done or omitted to be done in reliance upon the contents of these proceedings.

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).



You are free to:

Share— copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format

Under the following terms:

Attribution — You must give [appropriate credit](#), provide a link to the license, and [indicate if changes were made](#). You may do so in any reasonable manner, but not in any way that suggests the licensor endorses you or your use.

NonCommercial — You may not use the material for [commercial purposes](#).

NoDerivatives — If you [remix, transform, or build upon](#) the material, you may not distribute the modified material.

<http://creativecommons.org.nz/licences/licences-explained/>

Brief communication

BEEF PRODUCTION FROM UNTREATED SILAGE AND SILAGE TREATED WITH A MIXTURE OF FORMALIN AND FORMIC ACID

T. N. BARRY

Invermay Agricultural Research Centre, Mosgiel

Ryegrass-clover herbage with an organic matter digestibility of 72% was made into direct-cut silage in two 45-tonne polythene-covered stacks, using a flail-type forage harvester. One stack was untreated, but herbage cut for the other was treated with 6.0 l of commercial formalin (40% w/v formaldehyde) and 1.5 l of 85% (w/w) formic acid per tonne of fresh grass, from a gravity-fed applicator mounted on the harvester. After 6 months' storage the stacks were opened and self-fed by cattle.

Yearling Angus weaner cattle, of mean initial weight 152 kg, were divided into four groups and put on sawdust-based pads for 68 days during the winter of 1973. The total feeding time was divided into four periods ranging from 13 to 22 days. Two of the groups were self-fed untreated or treated silage *ad lib*. The remaining two groups, both fed in wooden troughs, were given, respectively, lucerne hay *ad lib*. (organic matter digestibility 60%) and a maintenance ration consisting of non-experimental silage and meadow hay.

TABLE 1: CHEMICAL COMPOSITION OF THE FEEDS

	<i>Pasture used for Silage</i>	<i>Untreated Silage</i>	<i>Treated Silage</i>	<i>Lucerne Hay</i>
Dry matter (%)	20.35	19.59	21.65	84.80
Total N (% DM)	2.02	2.11	2.22	2.75
True protein (% total N)	90.27	57.12	82.00	83.20
pH	6.02	4.24	4.82	—
Total organic acids (% DM)	—	13.85	5.70	—
2, 3-butanediol	—	—	2.32	—

There was considerable protein breakdown in untreated silage, but only a small degree of protein degradation occurred in the treated silage (Table 1). Treatment with formalin-formic acid also markedly increased silage pH, lowered the content of total organic acids, and caused the production of a small quantity of 2, 3-butanediol.

TABLE 2: EFFECT OF TYPE OF WINTER FEEDING SYSTEM ON LIVEWEIGHT GAIN

Period	Length of Period (days)	Condition of Self-feeding Pads	Liveweight Gain (kg/day)				Error CV (%)
			Maintenance	Untreated Silage	Treated Silage	Lucerne Hay	
		Number of cattle	15	15	12	16	
1	19	Clean	0.01 b	0.14 b	0.51 a	0.54 a	73.8
2	22	Dirty	0.05 c	-0.04 c	0.17 b	0.63 a	67.8
3	14	Clean	-0.21 d	0.21 c	0.52 a	0.36 b	70.7
4	13	Dirty	-0.16 d	0.14 c	0.35 b	0.78 a	76.0
Overall	68		-0.06 d	0.10 c	0.37 b	0.58 a	40.7

Within each row, treatment means bearing the same letter do not differ at $P < 0.05$.

Silage stack temperatures, measured 51 days after opening, were not significantly different in the surface 10 cm layer, and in the layers from approximately 35 cm below the surface to the base of the stack. In the layers 20-25 and 30-35 cm below the surface, untreated silage was, respectively, 9 and 5° C warmer than treated silage.

In all experimental periods untreated silage gave very low weight gains, indicating that it was a maintenance-type ration (Table 2). Treated silage increased weight gains in all periods. In periods 1 and 3, when the self-feeding pads were clean, growth rates on treated silage were either comparable to or better than on lucerne hay, showing that under these conditions it was a production-type ration. However, when the self-feeding pads were dirty (periods 2 and 4), growth rates declined on treated silage and under these conditions it was inferior to lucerne hay.

At the end of winter feeding, the 4 groups of cattle were joined and grazed on pasture. After 106 days of grazing the maintenance group compensated, respectively, 54 and 65% of the winter weight advantage built up by the treated silage and lucerne hay groups. The corresponding compensation for the untreated silage group was, respectively, 25 and 45%.