

New Zealand Society of Animal Production online archive

This paper is from the New Zealand Society for Animal Production online archive. NZSAP holds a regular annual conference in June or July each year for the presentation of technical and applied topics in animal production. NZSAP plays an important role as a forum fostering research in all areas of animal production including production systems, nutrition, meat science, animal welfare, wool science, animal breeding and genetics.

An invitation is extended to all those involved in the field of animal production to apply for membership of the New Zealand Society of Animal Production at our website www.nzsap.org.nz

[View All Proceedings](#)

[Next Conference](#)

[Join NZSAP](#)

The New Zealand Society of Animal Production in publishing the conference proceedings is engaged in disseminating information, not rendering professional advice or services. The views expressed herein do not necessarily represent the views of the New Zealand Society of Animal Production and the New Zealand Society of Animal Production expressly disclaims any form of liability with respect to anything done or omitted to be done in reliance upon the contents of these proceedings.

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).



You are free to:

Share— copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format

Under the following terms:

Attribution — You must give [appropriate credit](#), provide a link to the license, and [indicate if changes were made](#). You may do so in any reasonable manner, but not in any way that suggests the licensor endorses you or your use.

NonCommercial — You may not use the material for [commercial purposes](#).

NoDerivatives — If you [remix, transform, or build upon](#) the material, you may not distribute the modified material.

<http://creativecommons.org.nz/licences/licences-explained/>

BRIEF COMMUNICATION: Efficiency of crude protein utilization and milk urea nitrogen content in Friesian, Jersey and crossbred cows milked once daily

M Correa Luna¹, N López-Villalobos², DJ Donaghy², PD Kemp¹ and GA Almeida Jr³

*Institute of Agriculture and Environment, Massey University, Private Bag 11222, Palmerston North 4442, New Zealand.*¹
*Institute of Veterinary, Animal and Biomedical Sciences, Massey University, Private Bag 11222, Palmerston North 4442, New Zealand.*² *Department of Animal Science, UFES - Universidade Federal do Espírito Santo, Alegre, Brazil.*³

Corresponding author. Email: M.L.CorreaLuna@massey.ac.nz

Keywords: crude protein utilization; grazing; milk urea nitrogen; once a day milking

Introduction

For the last two decades, the New Zealand dairy industry has intensified its milk production through the inclusion of feed supplements and the increasing use of nitrogen (N) fertilizer (Di & Cameron 2000). Increasing N losses from these systems, mainly from urine (Li et al. 2012), can negatively impact the environment. Cow N utilization efficiency can play a role in mitigating this negative effect (Woodward et al. 2011).

Milking cows once a day (OAD) throughout the entire season has been adopted by Massey University Dairy 1 Farm since July 2013 with a mixed breed herd of Holstein-Friesian (F), Jersey (J) and F×J crossbred cows. This publication describes the content of urea in milk (MUN) and provides estimates of the efficiency with which protein in feed offered is converted into milk protein during the first 160 days of lactation, in all breeds.

Materials and methods

Dairy 1 Farm is located in Palmerston North with a herd of 66 F, 138 F×J and 54 J milked every day at 6.30 a.m. Cows started calving in July 2016 and had access to fresh ryegrass (*Lolium perenne*)/white clover (*Trifolium repens*) pastures every day and only in August cows received 3.5 kg of pasture silage per animal. In December cows had daily access for three hours to a mixed-herb crop comprising chicory (*Cichorium intybus*), red clover (*Trifolium pratense*) and plantain (*Plantago lanceolata*).

Pasture cover measurements were recorded pre- and post-grazing with three sets of 50 rising plate meter measurements following a 'W' pattern across the grazing area. Pasture and supplementary feed offered within 18-24 hours prior to each monthly herd-testing date was sampled and analysed to estimate the content of metabolisable energy (ME) and crude protein (CP) using near infrared reflectance spectroscopy analysis at the Nutrition Laboratory of Massey University.

Daily live weight (LW) records were collected by an automatic walkover system, and monthly herd-test for daily milk yield (MY), fat and protein percentages and somatic cell counts (SCC) were collected for each cow using the routine herd-testing service. Body condition score (BCS) (scale 1 to 10) was assessed in synchrony with each herd test. Additionally, milk samples from each cow

were taken in September and December for determination of MUN content using the service provided by MilkTestNZ (Hamilton, NZ).

Lactation curves for MY, fat (FY), protein (PY) and milksolids (MSY; FY+PY) yields, somatic cell score (SCS calculated as $SCS = \log_2(SCC)$) and LW were modelled using random regression models with Legendre polynomials of 3rd order, with the MIXED procedure of the Statistical Analysis System version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Predicted daily values of LW, MY, FY and PY for each day and cow were obtained from the polynomial function for each cow. These predicted daily values allowed calculation of estimations of DM intake (DMI) based on the total ME requirements for maintenance, production and LW change (AFRC 1993) divided by the ME content of the feed consumed. Crude protein intake (CPI) was calculated from total DMI and the CP content of the feed offered. Efficiency of crude protein utilization was calculated as $ECPU = (PY/CPI) \times 100$.

Least-squares means for the variables studied were obtained with a linear model that included the effects of breed, lactation number, interaction between breed and lactation number, and deviation from median calving date as a covariate.

Results and discussion

Accumulated milk yield from calving in July to 21 Dec 2016 was greater for F followed by F×J and J cows ($P < 0.05$) (Table 1). There were no significant differences among breeds for FY, SCS or BCS. Protein yield was 14% lower in J than in the other breeds. Friesian cows were 34 kg heavier than F×J, and 107 kg heavier than J ($P < 0.05$). These results are consistent with those of Lembeye et al. (2014) using the same cows in previous seasons.

The averages contents of ME and CP of the diet offered to the cows during this period were 11.5 MJ/kg DM and 18.4% of the DM, respectively. The ECPU was 26.5%, which is numerically greater than those of Pacheco et al. (2007) for cows milked twice a day in New Zealand conditions. However, the diets reported by Pacheco et al. 2007 were grass-only and with a greater content of CP in the DM (21-25%), which may explain the higher value of ECPU observed in this study. Although no significant differences in ECPU were found among the three breeds,

Table 1 Means and standard errors of days in milk (DIM), accumulated yields of milk (MY), fat (FY), protein (PY) and milksolids (MSY), somatic cell score (SCS), live weight (LW), body condition score (BCS), metabolizable energy intake (MEI), DM intake (DMI), crude protein intake (CPI), efficiency of crude protein utilization (ECPU) and milk urea nitrogen (MUN) in Friesian (F), crossbred (F×J) and Jersey (J) cows during the first 160 days of lactation under once a day milking at Massey University Dairy 1.

| | Breed | | |
|------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| | F | F×J | J |
| n | 66 | 138 | 54 |
| DIM (days) | 134.9 ± 0.2 | 134.5 ± 0.1 | 135.0 ± 0.2 |
| MY (kg) | 2,647 ± 49 ^a | 2,490 ± 37 ^b | 1,993 ± 58 ^c |
| FY (kg) | 123.0 ± 2.0 | 122.7 ± 1.5 | 117.9 ± 2.4 |
| PY (kg) | 99.1 ± 1.7 ^a | 96.1 ± 1.3 ^a | 84.1 ± 2.0 ^b |
| MSY (kg) | 222.4 ± 3.5 ^a | 219.0 ± 2.7 ^a | 202.3 ± 4.2 ^b |
| SCS ^d | 5.55 ± 0.17 | 5.33 ± 0.13 | 5.05 ± 0.20 |
| LW (kg) | 514 ± 5 ^a | 480 ± 4 ^b | 407 ± 6 ^c |
| BCS | 4.64 ± 0.04 | 4.65 ± 0.03 | 4.74 ± 0.05 |
| DMI (kg/day) | 15.5 ± 0.1 ^a | 15.0 ± 0.1 ^b | 3.3 ± 0.2 ^c |
| CPI (kg/day) | 2.83 ± 0.03 ^a | 2.74 ± 0.02 ^b | 2.44 ± 0.03 ^c |
| ECPU (%) | 26.2 ± 0.2 | 26.5 ± 0.2 | 26.0 ± 0.3 |
| MUN (mg/dL milk) | 23.5 ± 0.55 ^a | 22.4 ± 0.43 ^{ab} | 21.3 ± 0.65 ^b |

^{a, b, c} Means with different superscripts in the same row are significantly different ($P < 0.05$). ^dSCS is calculated as the log base 2 of SCC, where SCC is somatic cell count per millimeter. BCS on a 1-10 scale.

ECPU was significant when considering lactation effect. The lowest ECPU was in first-lactation cows with these animals performing 3.2% less efficiently than multiparous cows ($P < 0.05$). Possibly, first-lactation cows have different maintenance requirements and partition more nutrients towards growth rather than to milk production (Clark et al. 2006). The DMI and CPI were also both lower ($P < 0.05$) for first-lactation cows.

The MUN levels averaged 22.3 mg/dL with significant differences ($P < 0.05$) between breeds; F cows had higher MUN levels than J cows, but F×J had MUN level in between F and J. Additionally, CPI was also lowest in J cows ($P < 0.05$).

This study reports new ECPU figures for cows milked OAD. Given that first-lactation cows have lower ECPU,

a stabilised full-lactation OAD herd might have lower N losses due to a decrease in replacement rate.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the farm manager, Jolanda Amooore and the technical assistance of Fiona Sharland. This research was supported by the Cecil Elliot Trust of New Zealand.

References

- AFRC. 1993. Energy and Protein Requirements of Ruminants. An advisory manual prepared by the AFRC Technical Committee on response to nutrients. CAB Int., Wallingford, United Kingdom.
- Clark DA, Phyn CVC, Tong MJ, Collis SJ, Dalley DE 2006. A systems comparison of once- versus twice-daily milking of pastured dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* 89: 1854-1862.
- Di HJ, Cameron KC 2000. Calculating nitrogen leaching losses and critical nitrogen application rates in dairy pasture systems using a semi-empirical model. *New Zealand Journal of Agricultural Research* 43: 139-147.
- Lembeye F, López-Villalobos N, Burke, JL, Davis SR 2014. Brief communication: Milk production, live weight, body condition and somatic cell score during the first 150 days of lactation in Friesian, Jersey and crossbred cows milked once daily. *Proceedings of the New Zealand Society of Animal Production* 74: 5-10.
- Li FY, Betteridge K, Cichota R, Hoogendoorn CJ, Jolly B 2012. Effects of nitrogen load variation in animal urination events on nitrogen leaching from grazed pasture. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* 159: 81-89.
- Pacheco D, Burke JL, Cosgrove GP 2007. An empirical model to estimate efficiency of nitrogen utilisation in cows grazing fresh forages. *Proceedings of the 3rd Australasian Dairy Science Symposium*. Pp. 417-422.
- Woodward SL, Waghorn GC, Bryant MA, Mandok K 2011. Are high breeding worth index cows more feed conversion efficient and nitrogen use efficient? *Proceedings of the New Zealand Society of Animal Production* 71: 109-113.