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Nutritional effects, in early pregnancy, on lamb production of Finnish Landrace x Romney ewes

D.C. SMEATON, R.W. WEBBY AND I.S. TARBOTTON

AgResearch Ruakura, Private Bag 3123, Hamilton, New Zealand

ABSTRACT

This study tested the hypothesis that in high fertility ewes, low nutrition in early to mid pregnancy could affect placental development in turn affecting foetal development, lamb birth weight and lamb survival.

In 1997, 600 Finn x Romney ewes were randomised to 1 of 5 herbage allowance treatments applied over day 20 to 70 of pregnancy. Over the treatment period, mean herbage intake ranged from 0.69 to 2.04 kg DM/ewe/day resulting in ewe live weight changes of –36 to +60 g/ewe/day respectively (p<0.001). The result was a consistent but non-significant trend in birth weight with the lowest allowance ewes having single and twin lamb birth weights of 5.2 and 4.3 (SED 0.2) kg respectively. Corresponding birth weights for lambs from the highest allowance ewes were 5.3 and 4.4 kg. Lambing rate and lamb survival were not affected.

In 1998, 4 nutrition treatments were applied from day 7 to 70 of pregnancy to 650 ewes randomised from either of 2 previously generated mating weight groups. These treatments gave live weight changes of –84 to +35 g/ewe/day (p<0.001) from average herbage intakes of 0.70 to 1.96 kg DM/ewe/day. Birth weight of the single lambs (trial average 5.0 kg; SED 0.1) was not affected. However, twin lambs born from ewes from the lowest herbage allowance treatment were 0.35 kg lighter than those from the other treatments (p<0.01). Similarly, lambs born per ewes mated and lambs weaned per ewes present at lambing were also affected (p<0.05). We conclude that, in fecund ewes, nutrition in early pregnancy can affect twin lamb birth weight and lambing rate but only where the ewes are losing weight at more than 40 g/ewe/day or lose more than 4 kg from mating to mid-pregnancy.
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INTRODUCTION

Farmers in North Island hill country have in recent years sought to take advantage of new breeds of sheep to increase lambing percentage. Substantial increases have occurred for example by running Finnish Landrace (Finn) x Romney ewes. Early work by Rattray et al., (1987) using the lower fertility ewes typically in use at that time indicated that nutrition in early to mid-pregnancy had little effect on lamb birth weight and therefore on lamb survival (Dalton et al., 1980). However, Rohloff (1984) speculated that placental development in high fertility sheep was quite clearly affected by nutrition in early pregnancy. In turn, this would affect both foetal development and lamb birth weight. Farm survey data collected by Tarbotton & Webby (1999) has shown wide variation in apparent foetal survival in high fertility ewes between farms and mobs within farms. The implications of that nutrition in early to mid-pregnancy may have affected foetal development, lamb birth and survival in high fertility ewes. Hence, the recommendation of Smeaton (1983) to feed ewes at maintenance levels only, from mating up to late pregnancy might not apply in high fertility sheep. Clearly, this would have implications for feed flow in the winter and early spring periods. The following study evaluated the effects of different pasture feeding levels in early pregnancy on lamb output in high fertility sheep.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In each of two years at Whatatawhata Research Centre, approximately equal numbers of half Finn and quarter Finn x Romney ewes were run on pasture at 1 of 5 (1997) or 4 (1998) levels of nutrition from day 20 (1997) or day 7 (1998) until day 70 of pregnancy. After this, the ewes (580 in total) were managed similarly until weaning. In both years all ewes were treated against facial eczema.

The nutrition treatments described in Table 1 were set using measured herbage mass (Smeaton et al., 1983) and achieved weight loss and gain (Table 1 also) over the treatment period. Each treatment was replicated twice in 1997. In 1998 the treatments were not replicated but were applied separately to previously generated heavy or light ewes. Progesterone synchronised mating, using a CIDR™ intra vaginal device, commenced in the first week of April in each year. The ewes were joined with Dorset rams and ovulation rate from this oestrous was determined by laparoscopy 5 to 10 days later. To determine foetal loss, if any, the ewes were scanned by ultrasonography on days 40 to 45 of pregnancy and every 15 to 20 days thereafter until day 90. The ewes were weighed at approximately 2 weekly intervals. Ewes lambing, lamb numbers, survival and birth weights were all recorded along with weights at weaning in early December of each year. A balanced half of the ewes were shorn in mid-June in both years and then all ewes shorn again after weaning. The mid-June shearing treatment is reported elsewhere (Smeaton in press).

The data were analysed by analysis of variance using the statistical package of Rothamsted Experimental Station (Genstat 5 Committee, 1993). All animal data presented are fitted estimates. Contrasts tested included breed (¼ vs ½ Finn), mating cycle, replicate (in year 1), treatment, shearing treatment (not reported here) and all inter-

actions. Where appropriate, mating weight was tested and used as a covariate (within pre-mating liveweight group in 1998 only). Unless described otherwise, all interactions tested were non-significant.

RESULTS

1997 Trial

Significant (p<0.001) differences in ewe liveweight change between groups were achieved by the herbage feeding levels described in Table 1. Average pre-grazing herbage mass was recorded at 2200 kg dry matter (DM)/ha with a green content of 79%. A range of both liveweight loss and gain occurred (Table 1). Ewe liveweight at the start on day 20 of pregnancy was 51.7 kg.

Table 2 shows the impact of liveweight change on lamb output. Absence of significance shows that the nutrition treatments had no impact on lamb output and survival, although treatment 1 recorded the lowest multiple lambing rate.

The treatments had no impact on lamb weights (Table 3).

1998 Trial

The pasture nutrition treatments described in Table 4 again significantly affected ewe liveweight change (p<0.001). Average pre-graze herbage mass across all treatments was 2300 kg DM/ha and green content of this material was 70% (compared to 79% in 1997).

Table 5 shows that the pre-mating weight treatments had a consistent and usually significant effect on lamb output variates demonstrating the benefits of higher mating weights in these ewes.

The pasture allowances were set lower than in 1997 and the object of achieving greater ewe liveweight loss at the lower allowance end was successful. A liveweight change in these ewes of –84 g/ewe/day was achieved compared to –36 g/ewe/day in 1997. Average ewe liveweight at the start was 48.3 and 53.9 kg for the low and high pre-mating treatments respectively (p<0.001).

Table 5 shows that the pre-mating weight treatments had a consistent and usually significant effect on lamb output variates demonstrating the benefits of higher mating weights in these ewes.
and ewe total fleece weight (kg) less than view, this is the result of fairly severe undernutrition or reached. Our estimate is that this occurs at a ewe weight comes unimportant once a threshold placental size is established evidence that showed placental weight increased linearly, but statistically, the effect was not significant. This hint of a response was the rationale behind the more severe treatments adopted in 1998. Here a consistent although non-significant response did occur in the scanning data and a significant response occurred in lamb output (LW/EPL) and particularly in twin lamb birth and weaning weights. These results are in line with the observations of Edey (1976), Manktelow (1996), Geenty (1997) but not Cooper et al., (1998). The latter stated that foetal development can be influenced by ewe nutrition when they are over- rather than underfed. None of our high allowance ewes showed evidence of this response. Geenty (1997) wrote that placental development between days 30 and 90 of pregnancy is linked to lamb birth weight. By implication, this would therefore affect lamb survival (Dalton et al., 1980). Geenty also commented that loss of ewe liveweight (5 kg or greater) during early to mid-pregnancy will reduce lamb birth weight causing poorer survival of multiples. The lowest allowance treatment ewes in our trial in 1998 lost 84 g/day for 63 days; equivalent to 4 kg. In 1997 the loss was 36 g/day for 50 days or 1.8 kg in total. Our data therefore confirm the comments of Geenty (1997). However, he further cited unpublished evidence that showed placental weight increased linearly with nutrition over early pregnancy up to day 100. We think the response is more likely to be curvilinear or alternatively if it is linear, the impact of placental size becomes unimportant once a threshold placental size is reached. Our estimate is that this occurs at a ewe weight loss figure of about 40 g/ewe/day. From a practical point of view, this is the result of fairly severe undernutrition or less than any of: • pasture allowance 1.0 to 1.2 kg DM/ewe/day depending on green content • feed intake of 0.8 kg DM/ewe/day • residual herbage mass of 800 to 1000 kg DM/ha depending on pasture quality and density.

The above circumstances are unlikely to apply in most practicable farming situations. Hence, our recommendation is that even high fertility ewes can be run on a maintenance diet after say at least 3 weeks from the start of mating. We would recommend that any feed which is surplus to these requirements be used either prior to this period to promote ewe liveweight or be transferred through the winter via a long rotation into the late pregnancy or post-lambing period. The only time when nutrition in early pregnancy could be a threat to multiple lamb production would be in periods of severe feed stress such as in a prolonged summer-autumn drought.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We acknowledge the assistance of Chris Boom, Bill Carlson, Peter Moore, Shane Hill, Darren McDonald and other farm staff at Whatawhata Research Station, Linda Trolove for pasture analyses and related work, John Smith and John Parr for laparoscopy work and Catherine Cameron for carrying out the statistical analyses. Funding for the project was kindly provided by Meat New Zealand and Wool Pro.

**REFERENCES**


Tarbott, I.S.; Webby, R.W. 1999. Variation in lamb survival within farm and between farms; results from farmer studies *Proceedings of the New Zealand Society of Animal Production This Volume: 73-75

**TABLE 6:** Effects of treatments applied in 1998 on lamb liveweights and ewe total fleece weight (kg)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pregnancy treatment</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Signif</th>
<th>SED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>singles</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>twins</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wean weight</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>singles</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>twins</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n.s. = non-significant, ** p<0.01