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Is beef with yellow fat potentially healthier for you than beef with white fat?

T.W. KNIGHT AND A.F. DEATH

AgResearch Grasslands, Private Bag 11008, Palmerston North, New Zealand.

ABSTRACT

Fat samples were collected from 20 Angus grass fed steers 24 hours after slaughter. Fat colour was measured with a Chromameter (b* values) and the carotenoid concentration and fatty acid (FA) composition of the fat were analysed. Carotenoid concentration in the fat was low (1.54 ± 0.11 µg/g fat) compared to that found in vegetables. As b* values, and therefore the yellowness of the fat, increased the proportion of saturated FA decreased (r = -0.58; P<0.001) and monounsaturated FA increased (r = 0.68; P<0.0001). The relationship was not as strong for carotenoid concentration even though the correlation between carotenoid concentration and b* values was high (r = 0.86; P<0.001). The conclusions were that the potential health advantages of beef with yellow fat compared to beef with white fat were small, especially as the relationships appeared to only apply within a herd.
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INTRODUCTION

There is a preference for beef without yellow fat in some Asian markets, and this can reduce the acceptability of grass-fed beef from N.Z. The yellow colour is due to accumulation of carotenoids (β-carotene and lutein) in the fat (Yang et al. 1992). There is no odour or taste associated with these carotenoids and no adverse health effects. Thus it may be cheaper to persuade consumers to accept beef with yellow fat than to change the fat colour of N.Z. grass-fed beef. This would be easier if it could be shown that beef with yellow fat had health advantages over beef with white fat.

Beta-carotene is a major source of vitamin A and recent research suggests carotenoids are anti-carcinogenic (Ziegler 1991). Australian work (Zhou et al. 1993) has indicated that as fat became yellower the proportion of cis monounsaturated fatty acids (FA) increased, and saturated and trans monounsaturated FA decreased. There are health advantages in increasing the proportion of unsaturated FA in human diets (Jonnalagadda et al. 1996).

The potential health advantages of beef with yellow fat were determined in a trial which measured the carotenoid concentration in fat and compared the relationship between FA composition and fat colour in grass-fed N.Z. steers.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subcutaneous fat samples were collected from 20 randomly selected carcasses from grass-fed Angus steers. They had been in the chillers at Manawatu Beef Packers Ltd for 24 hours after slaughter. The yellowness of the fat was measured with a Minolta Chromameter (b* values). The b* values increase as the intensity of the yellow colour of the fat increases.

The carotenoid concentration in fat samples was analysed by the method of Kirton et al. (1975). About 0.3-0.4 g of fat was saponified with 3 ml of 20% KOH in ethanol at 60°C for 45 minutes then cooled to room temperature. Two ml of water were added before extracting the sample with 2 ml of petroleum ether. The petroleum ether extract was removed and dried with anhydrous sodium sulphate. The absorbency of the extract at 450 nm was measured on a spectrophotometer. This extraction includes lutein, and because 450 nm is near the optimum absorbency for lutein, the assay gives the combined concentration of both carotenoids. However, β-carotene was used to prepare the standards and carotenoid concentration is presented as µg carotene/g fat. Beta-carotene accounts for 70-80% of the carotenoids in beef fat and lutein accounts for the remaining 20-30% (Yang et al. 1992).

Adipose tissue samples were directly trans-esterified (Lepage and Roy 1984) using an adaptation of van Wijngaarden's method (1967). Approximately 20 mg of tissue was heated, with vigorous stirring, at 80°C for 10 min with 1 ml 6% (w/v) KOH in methanol in a screw-capped test-tube. On cooling, 2 ml BF₃-methanol (14% w/v) was added and the contents of the tube were heated for a further 5 min. About 2 ml pentane and 4 ml distilled water were added to the cooled tube and the contents vigorously shaken. A portion of the upper layer that formed on standing was transferred to a sample vial, which was then crimp-capped.

Gas chromatographic separations of fatty acid methyl-esters were carried out with a Hewlett-Packard Model 5980A instrument equipped with a 7673A autoinjector. The column used was an ECONO-CAP Carbowax 30 mX0.25 mmX0.25µm from Ailtech Assoc., Inc. (Deerfield, IL, USA). Hydrogen was used as the carrier gas, with the column head pressure set at 75 kPa. The injection port and
detector (flame ionization) were maintained at 240°C and 250°C, respectively. The column oven was held at 100°C for 2 min and then programmed to 160°C at 10°C/min, then to 240°C at 2°C/min and held at the maximum temperature for 5 min.

**Statistical analysis**

The correlation between fatty acid composition and b* values and carotenoid concentrations in the fat were analysed using the proc corr procedure in SAS (SAS Institute Inc 1987).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Carotenoid concentration in the fat (1.54 ± 0.11 µg/g fat) was much lower than the 5-89 µg β-carotene/g reported in vegetables (Visser & Burrows 1983), and 20-30% of these carotenoids in the fat will be lutein (Yang et al. 1992) which cannot be synthesised into vitamin A. Both the mean carotenoid concentration and b* value (16.7 ± 3.8) were higher in these fat samples than in the Australian work (0.70 ± 0.05 µg/g fat and 11.4 ± 0.7 respectively). The correlations in Table 1 indicate that as the fat became yellower and b* values increased, the proportions of the various saturated FA decreased and monounsaturated FA increased. The strongest relationship was between the ratio of monounsaturated : saturated fatty acids and the b* values (Fig 1). The relationship was not as strong for carotenoid concentration even though the correlation between carotenoid concentration and b* values was high (r = 0.86; P<0.001).

**TABLE 1: Fatty acid composition and the correlations with colour values and carotenoid concentration in fat samples from steers (mean ± SE; n = 20).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fatty acids</th>
<th>Composition (g/100g FA)</th>
<th>Correlation coefficients b* value Carotenoid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C14:0</td>
<td>4.28 ± 0.13</td>
<td>-0.59**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C14:1 cis</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.31*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C16:0</td>
<td>29.50 ± 0.66</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C16:1 trans</td>
<td>1.26 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.54*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C16:1 cis</td>
<td>2.40 ± 0.19</td>
<td>0.59**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C17:0</td>
<td>1.26 ± 0.03</td>
<td>-0.64**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C17:1 cis</td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.62**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C18:0</td>
<td>21.4 ± 0.92</td>
<td>-0.57**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C18:1 trans</td>
<td>10.85 ± 0.20</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C18:1 cis</td>
<td>24.28 ± 0.80</td>
<td>0.59**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C18:2 cis</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.01</td>
<td>-0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C18:3 cis</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.01</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others*</td>
<td>3.88 ± 0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturated*</td>
<td>59.81 ± 1.18</td>
<td>-0.58**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monounsat*</td>
<td>40.19 ± 1.07</td>
<td>0.68***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mono/Sat</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.70***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*mainly branched saturated FA; 
straight + branched chain FA

**CONCLUSIONS**

There are potential health advantages of beef with yellow compared to white fat but the they are small. The amount of carotenoids in beef fat is low compared to other dietary sources of carotenoids. For cattle of similar breeds and nutrition the beef with yellower fat will have a higher
proportion of unsaturated FA and thus have health advantages. However this relationship may not occur when comparing cattle of different breeds or cattle on different nutrition (ie grain vs grass-fed).
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