

New Zealand Society of Animal Production online archive

This paper is from the New Zealand Society for Animal Production online archive. NZSAP holds a regular annual conference in June or July each year for the presentation of technical and applied topics in animal production. NZSAP plays an important role as a forum fostering research in all areas of animal production including production systems, nutrition, meat science, animal welfare, wool science, animal breeding and genetics.

An invitation is extended to all those involved in the field of animal production to apply for membership of the New Zealand Society of Animal Production at our website www.nzsap.org.nz

[View All Proceedings](#)

[Next Conference](#)

[Join NZSAP](#)

The New Zealand Society of Animal Production in publishing the conference proceedings is engaged in disseminating information, not rendering professional advice or services. The views expressed herein do not necessarily represent the views of the New Zealand Society of Animal Production and the New Zealand Society of Animal Production expressly disclaims any form of liability with respect to anything done or omitted to be done in reliance upon the contents of these proceedings.

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).



You are free to:

Share— copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format

Under the following terms:

Attribution — You must give [appropriate credit](#), provide a link to the license, and [indicate if changes were made](#). You may do so in any reasonable manner, but not in any way that suggests the licensor endorses you or your use.

NonCommercial — You may not use the material for [commercial purposes](#).

NoDerivatives — If you [remix, transform, or build upon](#) the material, you may not distribute the modified material.

<http://creativecommons.org.nz/licences/licences-explained/>

BRIEF COMMUNICATION

Differences in the extent of mammary development between Jersey cows of high or low genetic merit

S. R. DAVIS, G. A. HUGHSON and A. M. BRYANT

Ruakura Agricultural Research Centre
Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries, Hamilton

The value of genetic selection of cattle for milk and milkfat yield has been demonstrated by the greater productivity of high breeding index (HBI) cows over that of cows of low breeding index (LBI) (Bryant and Trigg, 1981). HBI Jersey cows outproduce their LBI herdmates by 20 to 30% and show a greater gross efficiency of feed conversion into milk (Bryant, 1981).

Milk production is essentially determined by the number of secretory cells in the udder and their activity. The productivity of mammary tissue is relatively constant both across and within species at a rate of 1.9 ml/g tissue/d (Linzell, 1972). Thus, indices of secretory cell number such as post milking udder weight or volume may be expected to vary proportionally with milk production. That this occurs has been demonstrated in goats (Linzell, 1966) and ewes (Davis *et al.*, 1980) udder size being measured by water displacement in both cases.

The current study used a method for calculating udder volume by measuring post milking udder height (rear quarter to base of rear teat), width (average width measured approximately 8 cm above front and rear teats) and length (from base of rear teat to anterior junction of udder with abdomen). Half the product of these measurements was taken as an estimate of udder volume. The accuracy of udder volume determination using this method is dependent upon how closely the udder approximates a wedge shape. Thus cows with pendulous or asymmetric udders are not suitable for this type of study.

The validity of the measurement principles was

assessed by measuring the change in udder volume following removal of a known volume of milk from 10 Jersey cows at morning and evening milking. The mean change in udder volume was similar to the mean milk yield at both milkings (Table 1). Post milking udder volume did not differ significantly with time of milking.

TABLE 1 Validation of measurement procedures (n = 10).

Time of milking	Change in			Difference ± S.E.
	Post milking udder volume litres	udder volume litres	Milk yield litres	
Afternoon	6.8	2.0	2.2	-0.2 ± 0.5
Morning	7.4	6.1	5.8	0.3 ± 0.7
Difference	0.5 ± 0.4			
				± S.E.

The results of using this technique to measure udder volume in HBI and LBI Jersey cows at peak lactation is shown in Table 2. Measurements were made after afternoon milking when the residual milk volume was at a minimum. HBI cows produced significantly more milk and had significantly larger udders than LBI cows of similar maturity. While the udder volume of heifers was less than that of mature cows this difference was largely a function of live weight, milk

TABLE 2 Differences in live weight, milk yield and udder volume between cows of high (HBI) and low (LBI) breeding index.

	Heifers		Sig. of difference	Mature cows		Sig. of difference
	HBI n = 7	LBI n = 6		HBI n = 17	LBI n = 25	
Live weight (kg)	336	295	*	376	340	**
Udder volume (l)	9.1	7.3	<i>P</i> < 0.1	10.3	8.5	**
Milk yield (l/d)	16.2	12.7	***	18.0	14.8	**
Udder volume (ml/kg ^{0.75})	115	103	ns	120	108	*
Milk yield (ml/kg ^{0.75})	205	180	*	211	187	**
Milk yield/udder volume (l/l)	1.85	1.76	ns	1.80	1.75	ns

yield and udder volume expressed per $\text{kg}^{0.75}$ being similar for all animals within breeding index groups. However, although HBI cows were significantly heavier than LBI cows their udder volume per $\text{kg}^{0.75}$ was also greater, suggesting that the live-weight difference was insufficient to account for the difference in udder volume between BI groups.

Within the HBI and LBI groups there was a significant linear relationship between milk yield (MY,l) and udder volume (UV,l). The slopes and intercepts of these relationships did not differ significantly. The relationship for all cows was:

$$\text{MY} = 0.93 \text{ UV} + 7.33 \quad r = 0.66^{***} \quad n = 55$$

The difference in milk yield between HBI and LBI Jersey cows may be explained by the difference in udder volume which, by inference, is a reflection of differences in secretory cell number. While part of this difference can be attributed to the greater live weight of the HBI cows used in this study the remainder must arise as a consequence either of increased mammary growth during pregnancy in the HBI cows or an enhanced rate of secretory cell regression during lactation in the LBI cows.

The management requirements to promote mammary growth and prevent cell regression are fundamental to improving the efficiency of feed utilisation for milk production and the persistency of lactation.

REFERENCES

- Bryant A. M. 1981. The efficiency of cows differing in breeding index. *Proceedings of the 33rd Ruakura Farmers' Conference* 145-148.
- Bryant A. M.; Trigg T. E. 1981. Progress report on the performance of Jersey cows differing in breeding index. *Proceedings of the New Zealand Society of Animal Production* 41: 39-43.
- Davis S. R.; Hughson G.; Farquhar P. A.; Rattray P. V. 1980. The relationship between the degree of udder development and milk production in Coopworth ewes. *Proceedings of the New Zealand Society of Animal Production* 41: 163-165.
- Linzell J. L. 1966. Measurement of udder volume in live goats as an index of mammary function. *Journal of dairy science* 44: 307-311.
- Linzell J. L. 1972. Milk yield, energy loss in milk and mammary gland weight in different species. *Dairy science abstracts* 34: 351-360.